

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE 05/21/1999		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8335
09/316,805			JOHN RAITHEL HIND	CR9-99-033	
25259	7590	04/23/2003			
IBM CORP			EXAMINER		
3039 CORN		RD. BOX 12195	SONG, HOSUK		
	•	GLE PARK, NC 2			
ICE/ISE/IICC	AT TIME	OLL Triker, IVC 7	2,707	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2131	
				DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	h
					')

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/316,805

Applicant(s)

HIND ET AL.

Examiner

HOSUK SONG

Art Unit 2131



	The M	AILING DATE of	this communication	n appears o	on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.									
		nay be available under communication.	the provisions of 37 CFR	1.136 (a). In r	no event, however, n	nay a reply l	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reph to reply with ply received b	y is specified above, th in the set or extended (e maximum statutory per period for reply will, by st three months after the m	riod will apply a tatute, cause th	nd will expire SIX (6) e application to beco	MONTHS f me ABAND	O) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). y filed, may reduce any		
Status									
1) 💢	Respons	ive to communi	cation(s) filed on	May 21, 1	999		<u> </u>		
2a) 🗌	This acti	on is FINAL.	2b) 💢	This acti	ion is non-final				
3) 🗆					•		ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Cla	aims							
4) 💢	Claim(s)	1-24					is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the	above, claim(s		• •			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)						is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s)	1-24					is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		-				is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims _				are	subject	t to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ition Pape	ers					·		
9) 🗌	The spec	cification is obje	cted to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)□	The draw	wing(s) filed on		is/are	a) accepte	ed or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applica	nt may not reque	st that any objecti	on to the d	rawing(s) be he	ld in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The prop	oosed drawing o	correction filed or	n	is	: a)□ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner		
	If appro	ved, corrected d	awings are require	ed in reply t	o this Office ac	tion.			
12)	The oath	or declaration	is objected to by	the Exami	ner.				
Priority	under 35	U.S.C. §§ 119	and 120						
_	_			r foreign pr	iority under 3	5 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ∟	∐ All b)։ —	Some* c)							
	_		the priority docu						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
		application	from the Internat	tional Burea	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).			
			Office action for		•				
14)∐	_	_	ade of a claim for						
a)∟ 15)□			foreign language				received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm		leagement is in	de or a claim for	uomestic	priority under	30 0.3.	C. 33 120 and/or 121.		
_		nces Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Su	mmarv (PT)	O-413) Paper No(s)		
		person's Patent Drawir	ng Review (PTO-948)				nt Application (PTO-152)		
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2,3 6) Other:									

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Traw et al.(US 5,949,877) in view of Traw et al.(US 6,542,610).

Claims 1,2: Traw disclose exchanging device certificates of first and second device in (col.7,lines 7-13,37-43). Device certificate having a unique hardware id is disclosed by Traw in (col.7,lines 28-30). Traw disclose cryptographically verifying the received certificate using the public key of Certificate Authority and exchanging challenges created by each of first and second devices in (col.7,lines 25-31, 44-60). Traw disclose responding to respective challenges by signing received challenge,using the receiving devices's private key, private keys residing in the respective protected storage in each device and returning signed challenges in (col.7,lines 66-67;col.lines 1-17 and col.10,lines 40-50). Traw disclose cryptographically verifying that received challenge signature is of the challenge previously sent by receiving device and establishing a key agreement between first and second devices in (col.8,lines 11-17). Traw disclose establishing secure communications if all of prior verifying steps succeed in (col.8,lines 18-29). Traw does not

ŧ

Art Unit: 2131

specifically disclose negotiating a two-way session encryption and mutual authentication requirements between first and second device. Traw patent disclose establishing initial session between first and second device and negotiating two way session encryption and mutual authentication requirements between two devices in (fig.2 and col.7,lines 6-25). It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have preauthenticated process as taught in Traw with device certificate method disclosed in Traw because secure communication can be achieved before actual delivery of secure contents thus adding security of its content. Further, it provides an assurance to each entity as to origin of its data sources and how data is routed to the destination thereby minimizing data compromise.

Claim 3: Traw disclose first established session is an authenticated connection in (col.8,lines 21-26).

Claim 4: Traw disclose first established session is an encrypted connection in (col.3,lines 49-52).

Claim 5: Traw disclose unique hardware identifier is a machine address in (col.10,lines 40-50).

Claims 6,7:examiner takes Official notice that write-only storage,read-write storage to store or perform computation is well known in the art. For example (EEPROM,DRAM,etc).

Write only EEPROM can be used to store keys and can be written into the memory by the encryption circuitry, but he key can not be read from any other external leads connected to the chip thus providing full protection of its key against outside attacks. One of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2131

art would have been motivated to use these storage because it offers protection and data can be readily retrieved and access at user's discretion.

Claim 8: Traw disclose public key of a CA is a public key of a root CA in (col.10,lines 40-46).

Claims 9-16 differs from claims 1-8 in that computer program code is claimed. It is inherent in system of Traw to include a software code in order to perform cryptographic processing. The examiner asserts that performing a cryptographic functions by a computer without implementation of software is not possible.

Claims 17,18: Traw disclose exchanging device certificates of first and second device in (col.7,lines 7-13,37-43). Device certificate having a unique hardware id is disclosed by Traw in (col.7,lines 28-30). Traw disclose cryptographically verifying the received certificate using the public key of Certificate Authority and exchanging challenges created by each of first and second devices in (col.7,lines 25-31, 44-60). Traw disclose responding to respective challenges by signing received challenge, using the receiving devices's private key, private keys residing in the respective protected storage in each device and returning signed challenges in (col.7,lines 66-67;col.lines 1-17 and col.10,lines 40-50). Traw disclose cryptographically verifying that received challenge signature is of the challenge previously sent by receiving device and establishing a key agreement between first and second devices in (col.8,lines 11-17). Traw disclose establishing secure communications if all of prior verifying steps succeed in (col.8,lines 18-29). Traw does not specifically disclose negotiating a two-way session encryption and mutual authentication

Art Unit: 2131

requirements between first and second device. Traw patent disclose establishing initial session between first and second device and negotiating two way session encryption and mutual authentication requirements between two devices in (fig.2 and col.7,lines 6-25). It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have preauthenticated process as taught in Traw with device certificate method disclosed in Traw because secure communication can be achieved before actual delivery of secure contents thus adding security of its content. Further, it provides assurance to each entity as to origin of its data sources and how data is routed to the destination thereby minimizing data compromise.

Claim 19: Traw disclose first established session is an authenticated connection in (col.8,lines 21-26).

Claim 20: Traw disclose first established session is an encrypted connection in (col.3,lines 49-52).

Claim 21: Traw disclose unique hardware identifier is a machine address in (col.10,lines 40-50).

Claims 22,23: examiner takes Official notice that write-only storage,read-write storage to store or perform computation is well known in the art. For example (EEPROM,DRAM,etc).

Write only EEPROM can be used to store keys and can be written into the memory by the encryption circuitry, but he key can not be read from any other external leads connected to the chip thus providing full protection of its key against outside attacks. One of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 09/316,805

Art Unit: 2131

art would have been motivated to use these storage because it offers protection and data can be

readily retrieved and access at user's discretion.

Claim 24: Traw disclose public key of a CA is a public key of a root CA in (col.10,lines

Page 6

40-46).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. .

a. Weber et al.(US 6,178,409) disclose initial communication session before transfer of

certificates.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Hosuk Song whose

telephone number is (703)305-0042. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fri from

6:00 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gail Hayes, can be reached on (703)305-9711.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Ast &

GAIL HAYES

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100